UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

In Re: PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE

------ x

LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL ACTIONS

MDL NO. 1456

Master File No. 01-CV-12257-PBS

Judge Patti B. Saris

REPLY MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF GLAXOSMITHKLINE'S MOTION TO DISMISS

The claims against GSK should be limited to those involving reimbursement for Kytril and Zofran in the Medicare Part B market for physician-administered drugs. The AMCC contains no allegations whatsoever identifying any GSK activity with respect to any other drug or the PBM market for retail pharmacy drugs. Plaintiffs' "general outline" of alleged fraud in the PBM market for retail pharmacy drugs (AMCC ¶ 168-178) does not identify any conduct by GSK and, indeed, GSK is not even mentioned in those paragraphs. *See Jepson, Inc. v. Makita Corp.*, 34 F.3d 1321, 1326 (7th Cir. 1994) (under Rule 9(b), complaint cannot simply "lump" all the defendants together). The "GSK Group" allegations relate solely to GSK's Medicare Part B drugs Kytril and Zofran, and do not allege any conduct by GSK in the PBM market for retail pharmacy drugs. AMCC ¶ 376-416. Accordingly, there is no basis for this case to proceed against GSK outside of the Medicare Part B market for physician-administered drugs, and within that market, the case should be limited to Kytril and Zofran.

DATED: September 30, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

Cooffee F. Webs

Geoffrey E. Hobart Holland & Knight 10 St. James Avenue Boston, MA 02116 (617) 854-1419

Mark H. Lynch Ethan M. Posner Covington & Burling 1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20004 (202) 662-5317

Frederick G. Herold Dechert LLP 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215) 994-2413

Attorneys for Defendant GlaxoSmithKline